

Definitions are key!

Given a system of linear equations, the goal is to get it in reduced row echelon form and then solve it.

Definition: RREF

An augmented matrix or linear system is in reduced row echelon form if

- ① the first non-zero entry of each row is a 1, which is called the leading entry.
- ② if a row contains a leading 1, every other entry above and below that 1 is a 0.
- ③ if a row contains a leading 1, the row above it has a leading 1 to the left.

Example:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Elementary Row operations.

- ① divide a row by a non-zero scalar
- ② subtract a multiple of a row from another row
- ③ swap two rows.

Generally, we want to work with augmented matrices but keep the system it represents in mind when necessary.

Row reduction is our primary tool.

Almost everything we learn will involve row reducing matrices as a key step.

1.3

Consider the systems represented by

$$\textcircled{1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

System $\textcircled{1}$ is

$$x_1 = 1$$
$$x_2 = 1.$$

it has one, unique
solution

System (2) is $x_1 + x_2 = 1$

or $x_1 = 1 - x_2$.

x_2 can be chosen freely, which then determines x_1 .

If $x_2 = t$,

$x_1 = 1 - t$.

System (2) has infinitely many solutions.

System (3) is $x_1 = 1$
 $0 = 1$.

This is impossible, and hence the system has no solutions.

We call this an inconsistent system.

The number of solutions possible for a given system is related to the number of leading 1s when the system is row reduced.

① $\text{rank } A \leq n$, $\text{rank } A \leq m$.

② If system is inconsistent,
 $\text{rank } A < m$.

③ If exactly one solution,
 $\text{rank } A = m$.

④ If infinite solutions,
 $\text{rank } A < n$.

$\text{rank } A = m$
 \Rightarrow consistent

$\text{rank } A \neq m$
 \Rightarrow ∞ or no
solution.

$\text{rank } A = n$
 \Rightarrow ∞ or one
solution

Important Example:

If A is $n \times n$,

then $[A : \vec{b}]$ has a unique solution

if and only if $\text{rref}(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$

if and only if $\text{rank}(A) = n$.

this is called
the identity
matrix I_n .

Matrix Algebra

In many ways, matrices are like vectors.

Suppose A, B are both $m \times n$. k a scalar.

$$A + B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} + b_{11} & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$kA = k \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ka_{11} & ka_{12} \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

Just like vectors, there are ways to multiply matrices.

dot product

Suppose $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ $\vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$.

$$\vec{v} \cdot \vec{w} = v_1 w_1 + \dots + v_n w_n.$$

Matrix multiplied by vector

Suppose A is $m \times n$ and $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$

$$\text{then } A\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{w}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \bar{w}_m \end{bmatrix} \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{w}_1 \cdot \vec{x} \\ \bar{w}_2 \cdot \vec{x} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{w}_m \cdot \vec{x} \end{bmatrix}$$

Examples:

$$\bullet \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 6 & 8 & 10 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 10 & 13 & 16 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bullet 3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 6 & 9 \\ 12 & 15 & 18 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 6 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} = (1)(2) + (2)(6) + (3)(10) \\ = 2 + 12 + 30 \\ = 44$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boxed{1} & \boxed{2} & \boxed{3} \\ \boxed{4} & \boxed{5} & \boxed{6} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boxed{1} \\ \boxed{2} \\ \boxed{1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (1,2,3) \cdot (1,2,1) \\ (4,5,6) \cdot (1,2,1) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1+4+3 \\ 4+10+6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underline{OR} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 20 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(1) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} + (2) \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} + (1) \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 20 \end{bmatrix}$$

Column Vectors

Suppose A is $m \times n$ and $\vec{x} = (x_1 \dots x_n)$

$$A\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 & \dots & \vec{v}_n \end{bmatrix} \vec{x} = x_1\vec{v}_1 + x_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + x_n\vec{v}_n$$

special type of
sum.

Linear Combination

A linear combination of vectors $\vec{v}_1 \dots \vec{v}_n$ is

a sum $c_1\vec{v}_1 + c_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + c_n\vec{v}_n$ for scalars

$c_1 \dots c_n$.

Question: Can $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ be a linear combination of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}$?

That is, can we find c_1, c_2 so

$$c_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + c_2 \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}?$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{matrix form.}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 1 \\ 2 & 5 & 1 \\ 3 & 6 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1/3 \\ 0 & 1 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$c_1 = -1/3$$

$$c_2 = 1/3.$$

So $(-1/3) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + (1/3) \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1/3 \\ 1/3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Def: Matrix form

the matrix form of a linear system is

$A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ where A is the coefficient matrix, and \vec{x} is a vector of unknowns.

Yes is our first big picture moment.

$ax = b$ we would just "divide" by a .

$A\vec{x} = \vec{b} \dots$ can we somehow "divide" by a matrix?